IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL

Review Application No. 204 of 2006
In
Writ Petition No. 850 of 2006 (M/B)

Ravi Maheshwari. ..... Petitioner

Versus

Mussoorie Dehradun Development Authority & others. ..... Respondents

Mr. M.C. Kandpal, Senior Counsel with Mr. D.K. Kandpal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Rahul Kansal, Advocate on behalf of Mr. Sudhanshu Dhulia, Senior Counsel for the respondents.

Hon'ble Rajeev Gupta, C.J.
Hon'ble Prafulla C. Pant, J.

Mr. M.C. Kandpal, Senior Counsel with Mr. D.K. Kandpal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rahul Kansal, Advocate on behalf of Mr. Sudhanshu Dhulia, Senior Counsel for the respondents.

They are heard.

2. Petitioner Ravi Maheshwari is seeking review of the judgment dated 20.07.2006 passed in Writ Petition No. 850 of 2006 (M/B), whereby the petitioner's writ petition was disposed of with the liberty to the petitioner to avail the statutory remedy of filing an appeal against the impugned order before the Divisional Commissioner, Garhwal Division and also to seek condonation of the delay in filing the appeal on the ground that the petitioner was pursuing the writ petition under bonafide belief.

3. On due consideration of the submissions of the learned counsel for the parties, we do not find any ground warranting review of the judgment dated 20.07.2006.

4. The Review Petition (CLMA No. 204 of 2006), therefore, fails and is hereby dismissed.

5. Consequently, CLMA No. 10238 of 2006 also stands dismissed.

(Prafulla C. Pant, J.) **(Rajeev Gupta, C. J.)**
15.05.2007 15.05.2007

G